



PATENT
Customer No. 22,852
Attorney Docket No. 08071.0007-00

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|----------------------------|
| In re Application of: |) | |
| |) | |
| Takeshi MITSUISHI et al. |) | Group Art Unit: 2872 |
| |) | |
| Application No.: 09/986,907 |) | Examiner: Joshua Pritchett |
| |) | |
| Filed: November 13, 2001 |) | |
| |) | |
| For: COMPOSITION FOR VAPOR |) | Confirmation No.: 6647 |
| DEPOSITION, METHOD FOR |) | |
| FORMING AN ANTIREFLECTION |) | |
| FILM, AND OPTICAL ELEMENT |) | |

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Mail Stop AF

Sir:

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

This is in reply to the final Office action mailed September 6, 2005.

Specifically, applicants have concurrently filed a Notice of Appeal and Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review to address the rejections in the final Office action. This request addresses the objection, raised again in the final Office action after having been raised and properly withdrawn in earlier prosecution of this application, to certain claims because they include parenthetical statements. Applicants request that this objection be withdrawn.

While applicants appreciate the constructive suggestion of the Examiner to set off the identical recited limitations with commas rather than parentheses, the Examiner's preference for commas rather than parenthetical expressions is simply no reason to

object to the form of expression selected by applicants. In the absence of some legitimate basis or reason for this action by the Office, this objection should be withdrawn.

Please grant any extensions of time required to enter this request and charge any additional required fees to our deposit account 06-0916.

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

Dated: December 6, 2005

By: Charles E. Van Horn
Charles E. Van Horn
Reg. No. 40,266